

Method of running an algorithm and a scalable programmable processing device

The invention relates to a method of running an algorithm wherein the algorithm comprises a first function and a second function.

Furthermore the invention relates to scalable systems and more particularly to scalable multimedia communications systems such as an ATSC compliant video decoder.

5

An embodiment of the method and the system of the kind set forth above is known from Scalable Video Decoder and its Application to Multi-channel Multicast system (IEEE 19th International conference on consumer electronics, year 2000, Page 232 to 233. Here, a scalable algorithm is described to be used within real time systems that have limited resources and time critical algorithms. An example of a real time system with these characteristics is a video decoder to be used within a multi-channel multicast system and examples of limited resources are a physical memory, a main processor, and an input/output device. The scalable algorithm, for example a decoding algorithm for video, comprises a plurality of functions like, for example low pass filtering and upsampling. For each function the resource requirement in terms of CPU load is determined per number of video channels, for example 1, 4, or 8 channels, that can be decoded simultaneously. The functions that can be used within the algorithm are derived from the allowed CPU load and the budget each function is allowed to use must be allocated to each function individually.

Furthermore, it is generally known that the current approach for measuring complexity-distortion in a given system is to measure the operational curves for a particular data model (algorithm). Using a generic IDCT algorithm of an MPEG2 decoder as an example, the algorithm assumes that all the data inside each 8x8 block is in use. The total number of multipliers and adders is a fixed number. However, with one particular algorithm, if the data pattern changes, the number of computational steps can be changed, hence the complexity regarding the computation time of the algorithm is different, see Figure 2. With the change of complexity levels, the output distortion is also different, i.e. different operational points 202 are utilized. The corresponding operational curve for this algorithm can be measured. If a different IDCT algorithm is adopted for the decoder, then a different operational curve can be drawn, e.g. curves 204, 206.

Rapid development in the multimedia processing industry has promoted programmable multimedia processing devices instead of traditional dedicated hardware solutions, e.g. Application Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs). The programmable functionality of software-oriented devices has tremendously increased the flexibility of these types of multimedia and communication systems. Programmable devices are commonly used for adjusting or scaling functions complexity to certain levels according to available computational resources. This provides system scalability. However, when function complexity is scaled down, the performance of the system often degrades as compared to conventional dedicated hardware solutions. Therefore it is desirable to minimize performance degradation or distortion, at each function complexity level to maintain the overall performance of the system.

In theory, scalability deals with the tradeoff of function complexity and distortion via information rate and resource constraints. When computational resources decrease, the computing or processing power for performing the original amount of function complexity is decreased, thereby forcing the scalable algorithm to retreat to a lower level of function complexity.

One example of where a scalable design can be utilized is in a Digital Television (DTV) video decoder adapted to receive and decode information streams, e.g. television signals. The processing capability of the decoder is practically limited by the constraints of the decoder's processor, e.g. available computing resources. The decoder's processing capabilities may be limited to the point where it is insufficient for processing the received bitstream for displaying at an accepted quality standard. Hence, one way to enable the processor to decode the bitstream is to lower the quality of one of the functions. For example, the quality displayed in a Picture-In-Picture (PIP) window may be set lower (still acceptable) than the quality of the main channel being displayed by lowering the processing complexity of the windowed bitstream without altering the decoding quality of the main bitstream corresponding to the main channel. Accordingly, different processing complexities can be used for different bitstreams depending upon a respective modes of operations. This in turn permits the limited processing capabilities of the decoder to be better utilized to enable multiple bitstreams to be simultaneously processed.

Referring now to Figure 1, the Information-Based Complexity theory (IBC) shows that there is a minimal Complexity-Distortion (C-D) bound 100 for each given system. Figure 1 shows that the rate distortion bound 100 is a convex function. This curve 100

precisely defines the theoretical boundary between achievable function complexity-distortion (region 102) and non-achievable function-complexity distortion (region 104).

For purposes of background, C. E. Shannon published his work on Information Theory (IT) in 1948. As a branch of IT, Rate Distortion Theory (RDT) comes under the umbrella of 5 source coding and compression, which is concerned with the tasks of maximally stripping redundancy from a source, subject to a quality criterion. In other words, RDT is concerned with representing a source with the fewest number of bits possible for a given reproduction quality. The tradeoff encountered in RDT is between information rate and output distortion. It should be recognized though that in RDT, there are typically no computational constraints 10 on what the output decoding system can do.

To extend RDT to get complexity-distortion tradeoff and scalability issues investigated, the Information-Based-Complexity (IBC) theory was developed. IBC is a branch of computational complexity that studies problems for which the information is partial, contaminated, and priced. IBC claims that the computation of an algorithm could be scaled down by limiting the amount of input information to be processed. The complexity of an algorithm can be generalized to a function of the amount of information processed to generate an output sequence.

As indicated, the region 102 above the curve 100 is theoretically algorithm achievable, while the region 104 underneath is non-achievable. For a particular system, the complexity is limited. In other words, the complexity of the system has a boundary in order to let the system perform normally. The boundary of the complexity is described as $[C_{\min}, C_{\max}]$. Furthermore, the output quality also has limitations, i.e. the distortion range $[D_{\min}, D_{\max}]$. D_{\min} means the best result the system can provide, while D_{\max} means the worst result that the user could tolerate. Hence, the workable region of a realistic system is the region 25 106.

Theoretically, an optimal design is achieved if the performance curve of a designed system achieves the lowest C-D bound 100. However, it is not realistic to achieve the theoretical bound 100 perfectly with a practical system. For a given system and a given data model (algorithm), each set of test data will give a quality distortion rate. Referring 30 also to Figure 2, for such a system model, each point of complexity and distortion pair derived from a practical system is called an operational point 202. A group of operational points 202 compose an operational curve, e.g. 204 or 206. For different data models, e.g. algorithms, there will be different operational curves. Each operational point 202 on the operational curve, e.g. 204 or 206, is achievable by the system with a chosen implementation

and given test data. Scalability can be defined as a transition between two operating points 202 with different complexity coordinates in the achievable region 106. The best scalable algorithm has an operational curve which closely approximates the lowest C-D bound 100. In Figure 2, a first given system and data model, i.e. algorithm, gives a first complexity- 5 distortion curve 204, while a second provides curve 206. Theoretically, the distance of operational curves 204, 206 from theoretical lowest complexity-distortion bound 100 illustrates how good each system design is. Often in a real design situation, the lowest bound 100 is not available and the operational curves 204, 206 are not parallel.

The MPEG2 video decoder used in the above example is commonly employed 10 in Advanced Television Systems Committee (ATSC) compliant Digital Television (DTV) systems. In particular Figure 3 illustrates a conventional video decoder 300 block diagram suitable for use in an ATSC DTV compliant system as taught by GUIDE TO THE USE OF THE ATSC DIGITAL TELEVISION STANDARD, ATSC Doc. A/54, October 4, 1995.

Briefly, the decoder 300 includes a channel buffer 302 which receives a coded 15 video bitstream signal A and outputs a signal B. A Variable Length Decoder (VLD) 304 receives signal B and reconstructs 8x8 arrays of quantized Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) coefficients to provide DCT coefficients in quantized form as signal C and motion vectors as signal H. Motion Compensator 306 receives signals H and I, which includes data for anchor frames stored in memory 308 and provides motion compensated predicted pixel values as signal G. Inverse quantizer 310 receives signal C and dequantizes it to provide signal D which includes quantized prediction error DCT coefficients in standard form. Inverse 20 Discrete Cosine Transform (IDCT) 312 receives signal D and transforms it to obtain pixel values or prediction errors as signal E. Adder 314 receives signals E and G and sums them to provide reconstructed pixel values which are degraded by quantization as signal F which is 25 provided as decoded video data and also to memory 308.

It is an object of the current invention to provide a method as set forth above that allocates the resources in an improved way. To achieve this object, the method according to the invention comprises the following steps:

30 a first step of requesting an algorithm resource by the algorithm to provide a plurality of output quality levels,

a second step of determining that the first function provides a first plurality of quality levels and the second function provides a second plurality of quality levels,

a third step of allocating a budget to the algorithm to enable operating the algorithm at a output quality level, said output quality level being one of the plurality of output quality levels,

5 a fourth step of assigning a first quality level of the first plurality of quality levels to the first function and of assigning a second quality level of the second plurality of quality levels to the second function. By allocating a budget to an algorithm as a whole, a budget manager, or overall system control does not need to know that the algorithm comprises of a plurality of functions. The overall system control can therefore be used for the general purpose of allocating a budget to algorithms that run on the system simultaneously.

10 The budget is based upon the requested algorithm resources. Instead of allocating a budget to the algorithm, the overall system control can set an output quality level to the algorithm as a whole. The output quality level can be chosen from the plurality of output quality levels the algorithm can provide. Each function of the algorithm can provide a plurality of quality levels. When an algorithm gets allocated a budget or is assigned an output quality, a quality control can assign a corresponding quality level or setting to each function. The corresponding quality level or setting is chosen from the plurality of quality levels that can be provided by a function. The quality level of the function that provides the highest output quality level of the algorithm for the allocated budget can be the preferred choice from the plurality of quality levels that can be provided by a function. The quality control distributes implicitly its allocated budget over the functions the algorithm comprises by the assignment of a corresponding quality level or setting to each function. The assigned quality level per function is based upon the budget that is allocated to the algorithm.

An embodiment of the method according to the invention is described in claim

2. A function can provide a quality level for a plurality of levels of complexity wherein a 25 level of complexity for example is determined by a number of mathematical operations a function can perform, an amount of memory the function requires or communication means, like bandwidth, the function requires. When the algorithm consists of a plurality of functions, each function providing a plurality of quality levels, there are a lot of combinations of level of complexity and quality level possible. A quality control can perform these combinations and can decide upon these combinations which quality level to assign to a function.

30 Furthermore, the knowledge about the complexity of a function while providing the same quality level can lead to more smooth output quality transitions of the algorithm as a whole.

An embodiment of the method according to the invention is described in claim

3. Each function can operate at its own quality level. A combination of the first function and

the second function can lead to one algorithm that can provide a plurality of output quality levels. When a new budget is allocated to an algorithm which leads to a different output quality level, the same algorithm can be operated again, by allocating new quality levels to the first and second function as previously described. A number of algorithms providing a 5 same functionality but at a different output quality level that can, for example, be operated in parallel, can be limited this way.

An embodiment of the method according to the invention is described in claim 4. A quality control, for example can choose for each function the lowest complexity for the highest quality level from the plurality of combinations of complexity and quality level per 10 function.

An embodiment of the method according to the invention is described in claim 5. When the allocated budget is substantially equal to the requested algorithm resource, the algorithm does not get allocated substantially more than its requested algorithm resource. This prevents resources being not used by the algorithm, which can cause rejection of other 15 algorithms to operate because their requested resource is already allocated to the algorithm.

An embodiment of the method according to the invention is described in claim 6. When the first amount of resources in addition to the second amount of resources is substantially equal to the allocated budget, the algorithm does not use substantially more than its allocated budget. This prevents budget-overrun by the algorithm which may cause budget 20 shortage of other algorithms or algorithms that can result in missing deadlines of these other algorithms or algorithms and degradation of an overall output quality.

An embodiment of the method according to the invention is described in claim 7. The output quality levels that can be provided by an algorithm can depend upon a hardware platform that the algorithm is operated upon. When, for example, the first function 25 of the algorithm has specific hardware requirements, like for example the availability of a harddisk, the first function may be omitted when the hardware is not available.

An embodiment of the method according to the invention is described in claim 8. The output quality levels that can be provided by an algorithm can depend upon a software platform that the algorithm can access. When, for example, the first function of the algorithm 30 has specific software platform requirements, like for example the availability of a linear interpolation algorithm, the first function may be operated differently when the linear interpolation algorithm is not available, for example by using an available cubic interpolation algorithm.

A further object of the invention is to provide a method for operating a programmable processing device to reduce distortion in an outputted signal, that allocates the resources in an improved way. To achieve this object, this method according to the invention comprises the following steps:

5 a first step of providing data indicative of a plurality of operational states, each of said states being associated with at least one of a plurality of operational modes of said device, a complexity of operations (C) and a distortion level (D);

a second step of selecting one of said states for each of said complexities using said data and based upon said distortion levels;

10 a third step of determining an operating status of said device; and,

a fourth step of selecting which of said operational modes to operate said device in for each of said complexities responsive to said determined status using said selected states.

Embodiments of the method for operating a programmable processing device to reduce distortion in an outputted signal according to the invention are described in claims 10 to 15.

A further object of the invention is to provide a scalable programmable processing device that allocates the resources in an improved way. To achieve this object, this device according to the invention comprises:

20 at least one scalable application operable in plurality of modes each having a different complexity of operations characteristic;

a QOS resource manager for tracking how much computing resources are available for use by said at least one scalable application;

25 a strategy manager for determining whether said available resources are suitable for operation of said scalable application in a given one of said modes; and,

a local resource control responsive to said strategy manager and for selecting, in response to a determination by said strategy manager that said available resources are not suitable for operation of said at least one application in said given mode to select another of said modes for said at least one application;

30 wherein, said QOS manager and strategy manager are mutually responsive to one another and said at least one scalable application is responsive to said local resource control.

Embodiments of the scalable programmable processing device according to the invention are described in claims 17 to 19.

Various objects, features and advantages of the invention will become more apparent by reading the following detailed description in conjunction with the drawings, which are shown by way of example only, wherein:

Figure 1 illustrates a theoretical lowest attainable complexity - distortion

5 bound;

Figure 2 illustrates two operational modes of a system and the theoretical lowest attainable bound;

Figure 3 illustrates a conventional video decoder block diagram for an ATSC compliant DTV system;

10 Figure 4 illustrates an embodiment of the main steps of the method according to the invention,

Figure 5 illustrates an example of an algorithm that comprises a plurality of functions,

Figure 6 illustrates an example of a diagram in which the complexity of the algorithm is set against the output quality level that can be provided by the algorithm,

Figure 7 illustrates a storage device in a schematic way that comprises an embodiment of a storage device comprising a computer program product arranged to perform the method according to the invention,

Figure 8 illustrates a block diagram of a control system used according an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 9 illustrates a scalable video decoder block diagram for an ATSC compliant DTV system according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 10 illustrates a lowest C-D approach among various algorithms according to an embodiment of the present invention; and,

25 Figure 11 illustrates a scalable video decoder block diagram for an ATSC compliant DTV system according to another embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 12 illustrates the most important parts of an embodiment of the system according to the invention in a schematic way,

30 Figure 13 illustrates a television set in a schematic way that contains an embodiment of the system according to the invention,

Figure 14 illustrates a set-top box in a schematic way that contains an embodiment of the system according to the invention.

Figure 4 illustrates an embodiment of the main steps of the method according to the invention. Programmable components, rather than dedicated single-function components can perform continuous media processing. Those single-function components are used in traditional television receivers in which some of those single-function components could be combined to perform for example color decoding for NTSC or PAL systems, noise reduction or frame rate up-conversion. With the introduction of programmable components, continuous media processing algorithms can be implemented in software instead of hardware. Some of the expected advantages of the software implementation of media processing algorithms are: reduced time to market, re-use of hardware, re-use of software algorithms, portability, and flexibility. The software implementation of the media processing algorithms must run within the real-time environment in which system resources are finite and sufficient system resources may not be reserved for a particular processing algorithm, which can lead to changes in the output quality provided by the particular processing algorithm. Output quality levels can be measured by perception measurements, or objectively by available measurement means. A system running the processing algorithms is able to provide high-quality audio and video that has a relatively high frame rate above 50 Hz, almost no tolerance for frame rate fluctuations and a low tolerance for frame skips. Preferably, the system is also able to provide low frame rates with a maximum of 30 Hz, a high tolerance for frame rate fluctuations and a high tolerance for frame skips.

Algorithms can be allocated budget explicitly or implicitly by setting an output quality level of the algorithm. One of the objectives of an overall system control is to optimize the total output quality provided by the total system while making efficient use of all the available resources. The total output quality provided by the system depends amongst others upon the number of algorithms operating concurrently and the data an algorithm processes. The system may be, for example, a television, a PC, a display, a set-top box, or a VCR. In order to achieve this objective, the main steps below are performed.

Here, step 400 is an initialization step in which an overall system control, for example a budget manager accesses the contents of a first lookup table as illustrated in Table 1. In this table, "CPU", "co-processor" and "memory requirements" are examples of resources that an algorithm can use. Furthermore, a higher number that is denoted in the column named "quality number" indicates a better output quality level perceived by a user. By accessing the contents of this first lookup table, the overall system control determines the predefined amount of resources, for example CPU cycles, an algorithm requests to provide a predefined output quality level.

Quality number	CPU [cycles]	co-processor [cycles]	memory requirements [bytes]
79	39	22	3
68	28	12	3

Table 1

5 The algorithm, or a quality control, which is part of the algorithm, can have access to the contents of Table 1 too. Algorithms are started implicitly when a user switches to another channel for a main window and the, analog, source of the new channel is different from the, digital, source of the old channel. Other examples of starting algorithms or changing the resource requirement of an algorithm are:

10 when a user exchanges the contents of a main window and a picture in picture window by for example viewing the re-play after a goal,

15 when the size of a video conferencing window changes, or

20 when a new application, for example a video conferencing application when a call arrives, is started in an additional window. The resource requirement of an algorithm changes too when the media data the algorithm processes changes. A change in the media data can be caused by the service provider that may transmit sources with different input parameters, for example when a movie which can be a 24 Hz film is interrupted by a commercial which can be a 60 Hz camera or it can be caused by motion or scene changes.

25 Within step 402 the functions a media processing algorithm comprises is

determined by, for example, reading this information from some configuration file. This file describes that, for example, an algorithm for edge or sharpness enhancement comprises the functions as is illustrated in a schematic way in Figure 5. Within this Figure, a detail filter 512, a non-linear function 502, a gain 504, an adder 506, and noise measurement 508, is shown. The detail filter extracts higher frequency components from an input signal

containing a video signal. Those components can be added to the input signal to increase the overall sharpness impression of the video signal. The non-linear function and following gain can reduce artifacts like clipping caused by the detail filter whereas the noise measurement function can adapt the sharpness enhancement dependent upon the noise level contained within the input signal.

Within step 404 the requested resources and the quality level per function are determined. In order to separate concerns, a quality control 510, see Figure 5, shields the overall system control from the functions an algorithm comprises and is part of the algorithm.

Within the algorithm for edge or sharpness enhancement, the detail filter varies its requested

5 resources for, for example, number of CPU cycles or number of bytes. The variation of requested resources is determined by the quality levels described by the coefficients for the filter and the type of filter: horizontal, vertical, or both. The non-linear function varies its requested resources for, for example, CPU cycles and is determined by the quality levels described by the quantization of the non-linear function, which can differ for the input signal

10 and output signal. The gain varies its requested resources for, for example, a multiplier, shift and adder operations dependent upon whether it is stored as fixed values in a lookup table contained in memory, it is calculated by a multiplier or is calculated by shift and adder

15 operations. The noise measurement varies its requested resources for, for example CPU cycles, because it can, for example, be switched on or off. The adder operation can vary its requested amount of resources by for example doing less precise additions. However, adder operations that cannot vary their requested amount of resources but provide a predefined quality level for a predefined amount of resources, for example CPU cycles, can be used too.

The combination of all settings for requested resources and quality level per function, results in a large design space in which the complexity of the algorithm, or of a function of the algorithm, or of a combination of functions of the algorithm is set against its quality level.

20 The result is summarized into, for example, a second lookup table as illustrated in Table 2. In this table, there are three main columns: "version", which assigns a unique number to a row, "quality", which groups all parameters concerning the output quality level a algorithm can provide, and "complexity", which groups all parameters concerning the complexity of the

25 algorithm. The mentioned parameters are not limiting, for example, store operations or communication means like bandwidth and cache can be used as parameters concerning the complexity of the algorithm. Furthermore, the numbers used for the quality and complexity within Table 2 are absolute, but may be normalized to operations per pixel within video independently from the chosen format. With this concept, a media algorithm designer designs

30 the functions the media algorithm comprises to provide the correct functionality at different output quality levels.

Version	Quality							Complexity						
	quality number	PSN [dB]	Horizontal processing	Vertical processing	Temporal processing	...	adder operations	multiplications	shift operations	Memory requirement [bytes]	...			
1	79	45	1 (yes)	1 (yes)	1 (yes)	...	14	10	20	12	5	3	...	
2	79	45	1 (yes)	1 (yes)	1 (yes)	...	24	0	32	0	28	5	...	
3	68	39	1 (yes)	1 (yes)	0 (no)	...	10	8	12	4	6	3	...	
4	67	39	1 (yes)	0 (no)	1 (yes)	...	9	4	10	4	6	2	...	
5	61	37	0 (no)	1 (yes)	1 (yes)	...	10	0	11	0	7	3	...	
6	54	35	1 (yes)	0 (no)	0 (no)	...	8	3	9	3	6	1	...	
...
N	12	25	1 (yes)	0 (no)	0 (no)	...	4	0	3	0	12	0	...	

Table 2

5 Within step 406 the contents of the table is updated for the available software platform the algorithm has access to. For example, when the software platform does not support "temporal processing", this column is removed from the table and the effectuated rows are updated correspondingly. When for example "horizontal processing" is not supported, the corresponding column and the rows which do not lead to any processing at all, 10 like row 6 and N, are removed from the table. It is also possible to instantiate a run-time lookup table containing a mapping from software functions available within the software platform to software functions required by the algorithm instead of updating Table 2.

15 Within step 408 the contents of the table is updated for the available hardware platform the algorithm must be operated upon. For example, when the hardware platform does not provide a co-processor, this column is removed from the table and all rows are

removed that only used a co-processor. It is also possible to instantiate a run-time lookup table containing a mapping from hardware available within the hardware platform to hardware required by the algorithm instead of updating Table 2.

After these steps, the functions an algorithm comprises, the plurality of quality levels the different functions provide, the plurality of output quality levels the algorithm provides and the hardware and software the algorithm requires from the hardware platform and software are known to the quality control. The overall system control only needs to know about the algorithm, the resources the algorithm requests, the hardware it requires and the plurality of output quality levels the algorithm provides.

Within step 410 the overall system control allocates a resource budget to the algorithm in accordance with a best overall system's output quality level. A best overall system's output quality level can be achieved when the system is in a steady state in which all algorithms that are running provide a predefined output quality level and the system is fully loaded. This means that additional algorithms can not be started without adjusting the output quality levels of the running algorithms. The budget that is allocated is substantially equal to the resources requested by the algorithm to provide a predefined output quality level. When the algorithm gets allocated less budget than requested, the algorithm may not provide the predefined output quality level and when the algorithm gets allocated more budget than requested, the algorithm may not use all resources. The overall system control 512, see Figure 5, allocates the budget to the algorithm based upon the contents of Table 1. The overall system control 512 can allocate the budget to the algorithm based upon the contents of Table 2. In the latter case, the overall system control decides upon a more smooth transition of the output quality level provided by the algorithm. As is shown in Table 2, an abrupt transition of the output quality level provided by the algorithm is likely from version 2 to version 6, or from version 5 to version 6, because the processing changes in two dimensions. A more smooth transition is expected from version 2 to version 3, because the processing changes only in one dimension. The other "quality" parameters like the quality number or PSNR also provide information about the smoothness of transitions. The information about the hardware platform and software platform as derived in steps 406 and 408, can also be accessed by the overall system control. Selection of the output quality level provided by the algorithm is then based upon for example the available hardware. This is shown in Table 2, where version 1 and version 2 provide the same output quality level because their quality numbers are equal, but they distribute the required resources differently between the CPU and co-processors. The quality control can use this knowledge of

distribution between CPU and co-processors to deal, amongst others at run-time, with overload situations in which the quality control can change the distribution, while the algorithm still provides the same output quality.

Within step 412 the quality control translates the allocated budget, or output quality level, to the algorithm as a whole into a quality level allocation to the different functions the algorithm comprises. This translation is based upon the contents of Table 2 and takes the combination of all settings for requested resources and quality level per function into account. The combination of all settings for requested resources determines the complexity of the algorithm, a function of the algorithm and a combination of the functions of the algorithm. The complexity is expressed with a number. This number is weighted to get a single number for a specific hardware or software platform. Figure 6 shows an example of the combination of the complexity and provided output quality level. Useful combinations are achieved at the highest output quality for the lowest complexity as is indicated by the drawn curve in Figure 6. Each dot implies different quality settings or quality levels for the functions an algorithm comprises. The information about the hardware platform and software platform as derived in steps 406 and 408 is accessed by the quality control. The quality control uses this information to choose the best combination of the complexity and provided output quality level, because the best combination and the number of combinations can depend upon the hardware and/or software platform the functions must run upon. Changing the resolution of video data by for example sub-sampling the video data or deleting entire frames, lines or pixels is prevented by interpretation of the contents of Table 1 and Table 2 as previously described. The quality control also maximizes the perceptual quality because a user perceives a low output quality provided by the system, when the quality of, for example a movie, is changed continuously. Therefore quality levels are sparingly adjusted.

Within step 414, the quality control re-allocates the translated allocated budget to the functions the algorithm comprises implicitly, by assigning the corresponding quality level to the functions.

Within step 416 the functions and therefore the algorithm as a whole start operating using their allocated budget and set quality level. After completion of the algorithm, step 400 can be performed again or the final step 418 is reached.

Figure 7 illustrates, in a schematic way, a storage device that comprises a computer program product arranged to perform the method according to the invention. Here, 700 is a compact-disk comprising code 702.

Furthermore, the present invention involves comparing different C-D curves that achieve the same task, so that an algorithm which is more efficient regarding the trade-off of the complexity and the quality distortion at certain complexity levels than available alternatives can be identified. Therefore the best algorithm which gives the minimal quality distortion at certain complexity can be selected, and the global optimal approach can be achieved for the given set of algorithms under assumed complexity and distortion ranges.

Referring now to Figure 8, the scalable video algorithm design control system made according to the concepts of the present invention preferably includes four elements: a Quality Of Service (QOS) resource manager 800; a strategy manager 802; a local resource control 804; and scalable algorithms 806. The QOS resource manager 800 oversees resource usage within an entire system, or grouped subsystems. It sends out control commands to the strategy manager 802 when system resources vary, and receives feedback from the strategy manager 802 when subsystems are scaled. The strategy manager 802 serves as an envoy for the QOS manager 800 which has the power to command different scalable algorithms' 806 via local controller 804 to scale up or down in order to adapt to the resource level change. Although the strategy manager 802 controls the overall scaling of levels of different applications, it does not control the detail of the scalability of a specific algorithm 806. For example, it controls the total complexity level of the MPEG2 decoder, but it does not have the control about which algorithm of the MPEG2 decoder should be scaled down to what level. This is the work of the local resource control 804. The local resource control 804 (also known as decoding resource control or complexity switching control) knows exactly how each functional block inside of the MPEG2 decoder is scaled and to what level. Ultimately, the scalable algorithms 806 are the keys that fulfill the scalability job.

In other words, it should be understood that in general QOS is well understood by those possessing an ordinary skill in computer quality control/information management. The QOS manager 800 basically manages the use of computing resources, e.g. in use, not in use, monitors information flow, and responds to requests from applications that demand or are using resources. The strategy manager 802 satisfies a need for communication between the QOS manager 800 and scalable applications which use the scalable algorithms 806, such as the scalable MPEG2 decoder of Figures 9 or 11. The strategy manager 802 handles and controls the resource uses of each individual scalable application and coordinates these applications.

The local resource control 804 serves as a local office manager for a particular scalable application. For example, and referring now to Figure 9, therein is illustrated a

scalable ATSC compliant DTV video decoder according to the present invention. Therein, the elements designated with a ' designate like elements to those of Figure 3, except that they are scalable in accordance with the present invention. Hence, more than one functional block within the decoder 300' of Figure 9 is scalable. In the MPEG2 decoder 300' the IDCT is one functional block for example. The local resource manager 804 (also referred to as Decoding Resource Control) coordinates the activities and scalable levels of these blocks, e.g. how much each individual functional block should be scaled. If multiple scalable algorithms 806 are available for a particular functional block, e.g. an IDCT, in order to achieve minimal distortion, when and where the switching of multiple algorithms, e.g. 204, 206, should apply, these control tasks are performed by the local resource manager 804.

As discussed above, the fundamental research proves that the lowest complexity-distortion (C-D) bound 100 in a C-D plane exists. However, to design a system which is ideally on this bound 100 is not practical. In order to best achieve/approach this theoretical lowest bound 100, system designers usually search for the single best algorithm that can approach the bound 100 for different complexity levels. Usually a single algorithm is selected from a group of algorithms for the best match. But as is clearly illustrated in Figure 2 for example, the operational 204, 206 curves of different algorithms may cross each other. This implies that one algorithm has a lower complexity level than the other at one distortion rate, but does not necessarily result in a lower complexity level at a different distortion rate.

Referring now to Figure 10, in order to better approach the global optimal C-D bound 100, and according to a preferred form of the present invention: the operational curves of available algorithms are measured; then for a possible given data set, the algorithm which yields the lowest distortion rate for each complexity level within the operational curves is selected; finally, switch points on different operational curves are selected which results in a new global optimal operational curve. In other words, according to the present invention, switches between different algorithms 1002, 1004, 1006, 1008 are advantageously utilized to provide a better operational curve 1010 than any of the individually tested algorithms 1002, 1004, 1006, 1008.

Referring now also to Figure 11, therein is illustrated another ATSC compliant DTV video decoder 300" according to the present invention which includes a scalable IDCT functional block 312, 312', 312". Using the IDCT functional block 312, 312', 312" of MPEG2 decoder 300" as an embodiment of the disclosure, this approach proposes to use multiple Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) algorithms to achieve an optimal scalability of

the decoder with regards to the computation complexity and the quality. For a given complexity level, a DCT algorithm that is chosen to give the minimal distortion level.

It should be recognized that theoretically, if an infinite number of algorithms are available the lowest C-D bound 100 could be approached or nearly achieved by 5 performing all the comparisons therebetween and selecting the best fit at each complexity. Practically however, the number of available algorithms and the comparison time are limited by design criteria, and the number of operational switching points is also limited as will be discussed. Therefore the approach of the present invention is to get the lowest possible quality distortion under certain given complexity using a certain number of available 10 algorithms.

Referring still to Figure 11, therein is illustrated a video decoder 300" block diagram for an ATSC compliant DTV system according to another form of the present invention. As can be readily ascertained, the decoder 300" incorporates many of the same elements as the decoder 300 of Figure 1, therefore a discussion of these like elements will not be repeated. Referring now also to Figures 3 and 9, in contrast to the decoder 300 though, the decoder 300" includes multiple IDCT's 312, 312', 312" and complexity switch 804 while the decoder 300' includes an IDCT 312' which can implement multiple algorithms and switch 804. The complexity switch 804 is responsive to signal J which originates from strategy manager 802. The switch control 804 outputs signal K in response to signal J which selectively activates ones of IDCT's 312, 312', 312". In the illustrated case of Figure 11, there are n IDCTs, 312, 312', 312" which are switched between to provide n different algorithms. Alternatively, one or more IDCT's which are selectively activatable to use different 20 algorithms can be used such as is illustrated in Figure 9.

Referring again to Figure 8 also, the QOS 800 determines how much, or what 25 percentage of Central Processing Unit (CPU) cycles, i.e. processing power, the MPEG2 decoder 300" is entitled to use. This may or may not be enough for full power MPEG2 decoding, i.e. non-scalable. The strategy manager 802 receives this budget, together with other budgets for other applications. The strategy manager 802 determines if this budgeted amount of computing or processing power is sufficient for full decoding. If the budgeted 30 amount of computing power is not sufficient for a non-scalable decoding, it will either inform the local resource control 804 to activate a scalable algorithm 806, or request more resources from QOS manager 800 for robustly maintaining a suitable output quality. Assuming the local resource manager 804 of the MPEG2 decoder 300" receives the reduced budget, based on statistics gathered in advance, by using one or more look-up tables for example, a

determination is made as to which scalable algorithm 204 will be activated at what level of available processing power. Assuming the global optimal IDCT algorithm according to the present invention is activated, and since the complexity versus distortion relationship is determined off-line in advance, at each complexity level the local resource manager 804 has 5 a well defined algorithm to call and use, as corresponding to a particular operational point.

For different algorithms, the complexity stretch is different. Not all the available algorithms will provide the same range of complexity-distortion measurement and range. The operational points for different algorithms may offset each other. The metric for complexity measurement for different algorithms should be universal, or scaled to the 10 comparable level. The total number of machine cycles running the algorithm can be defined as the complexity level of the algorithm. However, in high level simulation of the scalable algorithms, it may not be realistic to measure the machine cycles as machine cycles are platform and CPU dependent. In such a case, the multiplication reduction ratio can be used 15 as a complexity measurement.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, a procedure to obtain an operational C-D curve is as follows: Step 1. The operational points of available 20 algorithms are measured under different complexity levels; Step 2. Scale the operational points of different algorithms to the same scale and draw the operational curves on the same plot; Step 3. Find the switching points (cross points) of the operational curves; and, Step 4. The global optimal operational curve 1010 is decided by selecting each operation curve portion which is nearest to the C-D curve 100 between each of the switching points.

To summarize, the disclosed method proposes a way to better approach the global optimal complexity-distortion bound 100 for a given system using multiple schemes, e.g. algorithms or modes. This method is based on the information-based complexity theory 25 and is practically achievable. It can be used in scalable multimedia / communication system design and scalability analysis.

The order in the described embodiments of the methods of the current invention is not mandatory, a person skilled in the art may change the order of steps or 30 perform steps concurrently using threading models, multi-processor systems or multiple processes without departing from the concept as intended by the current invention. Furthermore, the introduced quality control and overall system control express roles or concepts that can be used within the methods of the current invention.

Figure 12 illustrates the most important parts of an embodiment of the system according to the invention in a schematic way. The system, 1200, comprises a first memory

1202 that contains per resource an amount of that resource an algorithm requests to provide a predefined output quality level. A CPU and a co-processor are examples of resources of which cycles can be requested. A second memory 1204, contains a module to perform a first function of the algorithm, while a third memory 1206, contains a module to perform a second function of the algorithm. Consider the edge or sharpness enhancement algorithm 514, as described in Figure 5. The second memory 1204 contains the module that performs detail filtering 500, while the third memory 1206 contains the module that performs noise measurement 508. The system may also contain more memories containing modules that perform all the functions of the edge or sharpness enhancement algorithm as described in 10 Figure 5. The fourth memory, 1208 contains a lookup table containing per quality level of a first plurality of quality levels the first function can provide, the amount of resources it requires. The fifth memory, 1210 contains a lookup table containing per quality level of a second plurality of quality levels the second function can provide, the amount of resources the second function requires. After the overall system control allocates a budget per resource, as previously described, the sixth memory 1212 contains per resource the amount of budget allocated to the algorithm. The overall system control can also assign the output quality level of the algorithm as a whole, thereby implicitly allocating a budget per resource to the algorithm. Furthermore, the memories 1214 and 1216 contain the quality levels provided by the first and second function of the algorithm respectively. Memory 1218 contains a plurality of complexity numbers indicating a plurality of levels of complexity of operation of the first function of the algorithm. Memory 1220 contains a complexity number indicating the least complex level of operation of the first function of the algorithm. In order to determine the content of memories 1214 and 1216 as previously described the quality control has access to the contents of memory 1218 and 1220. The contents of memories 1202, 1208, and 1210, 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120 125 130 135 140 145 150 155 160 165 170 175 180 185 190 195 200 205 210 215 220 225 230 235 240 245 250 255 260 265 270 275 280 285 290 295 300 305 310 315 320 325 330 335 340 345 350 355 360 365 370 375 380 385 390 395 400 405 410 415 420 425 430 435 440 445 450 455 460 465 470 475 480 485 490 495 500 505 510 515 520 525 530 535 540 545 550 555 560 565 570 575 580 585 590 595 600 605 610 615 620 625 630 635 640 645 650 655 660 665 670 675 680 685 690 695 700 705 710 715 720 725 730 735 740 745 750 755 760 765 770 775 780 785 790 795 800 805 810 815 820 825 830 835 840 845 850 855 860 865 870 875 880 885 890 895 900 905 910 915 920 925 930 935 940 945 950 955 960 965 970 975 980 985 990 995 1000 1005 1010 1015 1020 1025 1030 1035 1040 1045 1050 1055 1060 1065 1070 1075 1080 1085 1090 1095 1100 1105 1110 1115 1120 1125 1130 1135 1140 1145 1150 1155 1160 1165 1170 1175 1180 1185 1190 1195 1200 1205 1210 1215 1220 1225 1230 1235 1240 1245 1250 1255 1260 1265 1270 1275 1280 1285 1290 1295 1300 1305 1310 1315 1320 1325 1330 1335 1340 1345 1350 1355 1360 1365 1370 1375 1380 1385 1390 1395 1400 1405 1410 1415 1420 1425 1430 1435 1440 1445 1450 1455 1460 1465 1470 1475 1480 1485 1490 1495 1500 1505 1510 1515 1520 1525 1530 1535 1540 1545 1550 1555 1560 1565 1570 1575 1580 1585 1590 1595 1600 1605 1610 1615 1620 1625 1630 1635 1640 1645 1650 1655 1660 1665 1670 1675 1680 1685 1690 1695 1700 1705 1710 1715 1720 1725 1730 1735 1740 1745 1750 1755 1760 1765 1770 1775 1780 1785 1790 1795 1800 1805 1810 1815 1820 1825 1830 1835 1840 1845 1850 1855 1860 1865 1870 1875 1880 1885 1890 1895 1900 1905 1910 1915 1920 1925 1930 1935 1940 1945 1950 1955 1960 1965 1970 1975 1980 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 2055 2060 2065 2070 2075 2080 2085 2090 2095 2100 2105 2110 2115 2120 2125 2130 2135 2140 2145 2150 2155 2160 2165 2170 2175 2180 2185 2190 2195 2200 2205 2210 2215 2220 2225 2230 2235 2240 2245 2250 2255 2260 2265 2270 2275 2280 2285 2290 2295 2300 2305 2310 2315 2320 2325 2330 2335 2340 2345 2350 2355 2360 2365 2370 2375 2380 2385 2390 2395 2400 2405 2410 2415 2420 2425 2430 2435 2440 2445 2450 2455 2460 2465 2470 2475 2480 2485 2490 2495 2500 2505 2510 2515 2520 2525 2530 2535 2540 2545 2550 2555 2560 2565 2570 2575 2580 2585 2590 2595 2600 2605 2610 2615 2620 2625 2630 2635 2640 2645 2650 2655 2660 2665 2670 2675 2680 2685 2690 2695 2700 2705 2710 2715 2720 2725 2730 2735 2740 2745 2750 2755 2760 2765 2770 2775 2780 2785 2790 2795 2800 2805 2810 2815 2820 2825 2830 2835 2840 2845 2850 2855 2860 2865 2870 2875 2880 2885 2890 2895 2900 2905 2910 2915 2920 2925 2930 2935 2940 2945 2950 2955 2960 2965 2970 2975 2980 2985 2990 2995 3000 3005 3010 3015 3020 3025 3030 3035 3040 3045 3050 3055 3060 3065 3070 3075 3080 3085 3090 3095 3100 3105 3110 3115 3120 3125 3130 3135 3140 3145 3150 3155 3160 3165 3170 3175 3180 3185 3190 3195 3200 3205 3210 3215 3220 3225 3230 3235 3240 3245 3250 3255 3260 3265 3270 3275 3280 3285 3290 3295 3300 3305 3310 3315 3320 3325 3330 3335 3340 3345 3350 3355 3360 3365 3370 3375 3380 3385 3390 3395 3400 3405 3410 3415 3420 3425 3430 3435 3440 3445 3450 3455 3460 3465 3470 3475 3480 3485 3490 3495 3500 3505 3510 3515 3520 3525 3530 3535 3540 3545 3550 3555 3560 3565 3570 3575 3580 3585 3590 3595 3600 3605 3610 3615 3620 3625 3630 3635 3640 3645 3650 3655 3660 3665 3670 3675 3680 3685 3690 3695 3700 3705 3710 3715 3720 3725 3730 3735 3740 3745 3750 3755 3760 3765 3770 3775 3780 3785 3790 3795 3800 3805 3810 3815 3820 3825 3830 3835 3840 3845 3850 3855 3860 3865 3870 3875 3880 3885 3890 3895 3900 3905 3910 3915 3920 3925 3930 3935 3940 3945 3950 3955 3960 3965 3970 3975 3980 3985 3990 3995 4000 4005 4010 4015 4020 4025 4030 4035 4040 4045 4050 4055 4060 4065 4070 4075 4080 4085 4090 4095 4100 4105 4110 4115 4120 4125 4130 4135 4140 4145 4150 4155 4160 4165 4170 4175 4180 4185 4190 4195 4200 4205 4210 4215 4220 4225 4230 4235 4240 4245 4250 4255 4260 4265 4270 4275 4280 4285 4290 4295 4300 4305 4310 4315 4320 4325 4330 4335 4340 4345 4350 4355 4360 4365 4370 4375 4380 4385 4390 4395 4400 4405 4410 4415 4420 4425 4430 4435 4440 4445 4450 4455 4460 4465 4470 4475 4480 4485 4490 4495 4500 4505 4510 4515 4520 4525 4530 4535 4540 4545 4550 4555 4560 4565 4570 4575 4580 4585 4590 4595 4600 4605 4610 4615 4620 4625 4630 4635 4640 4645 4650 4655 4660 4665 4670 4675 4680 4685 4690 4695 4700 4705 4710 4715 4720 4725 4730 4735 4740 4745 4750 4755 4760 4765 4770 4775 4780 4785 4790 4795 4800 4805 4810 4815 4820 4825 4830 4835 4840 4845 4850 4855 4860 4865 4870 4875 4880 4885 4890 4895 4900 4905 4910 4915 4920 4925 4930 4935 4940 4945 4950 4955 4960 4965 4970 4975 4980 4985 4990 4995 5000 5005 5010 5015 5020 5025 5030 5035 5040 5045 5050 5055 5060 5065 5070 5075 5080 5085 5090 5095 5100 5105 5110 5115 5120 5125 5130 5135 5140 5145 5150 5155 5160 5165 5170 5175 5180 5185 5190 5195 5200 5205 5210 5215 5220 5225 5230 5235 5240 5245 5250 5255 5260 5265 5270 5275 5280 5285 5290 5295 5300 5305 5310 5315 5320 5325 5330 5335 5340 5345 5350 5355 5360 5365 5370 5375 5380 5385 5390 5395 5400 5405 5410 5415 5420 5425 5430 5435 5440 5445 5450 5455 5460 5465 5470 5475 5480 5485 5490 5495 5500 5505 5510 5515 5520 5525 5530 5535 5540 5545 5550 5555 5560 5565 5570 5575 5580 5585 5590 5595 5600 5605 5610 5615 5620 5625 5630 5635 5640 5645 5650 5655 5660 5665 5670 5675 5680 5685 5690 5695 5700 5705 5710 5715 5720 5725 5730 5735 5740 5745 5750 5755 5760 5765 5770 5775 5780 5785 5790 5795 5800 5805 5810 5815 5820 5825 5830 5835 5840 5845 5850 5855 5860 5865 5870 5875 5880 5885 5890 5895 5900 5905 5910 5915 5920 5925 5930 5935 5940 5945 5950 5955 5960 5965 5970 5975 5980 5985 5990 5995 6000 6005 6010 6015 6020 6025 6030 6035 6040 6045 6050 6055 6060 6065 6070 6075 6080 6085 6090 6095 6100 6105 6110 6115 6120 6125 6130 6135 6140 6145 6150 6155 6160 6165 6170 6175 6180 6185 6190 6195 6200 6205 6210 6215 6220 6225 6230 6235 6240 6245 6250 6255 6260 6265 6270 6275 6280 6285 6290 6295 6300 6305 6310 6315 6320 6325 6330 6335 6340 6345 6350 6355 6360 6365 6370 6375 6380 6385 6390 6395 6400 6405 6410 6415 6420 6425 6430 6435 6440 6445 6450 6455 6460 6465 6470 6475 6480 6485 6490 6495 6500 6505 6510 6515 6520 6525 6530 6535 6540 6545 6550 6555 6560 6565 6570 6575 6580 6585 6590 6595 6600 6605 6610 6615 6620 6625 6630 6635 6640 6645 6650 6655 6660 6665 6670 6675 6680 6685 6690 6695 6700 6705 6710 6715 6720 6725 6730 6735 6740 6745 6750 6755 6760 6765 6770 6775 6780 6785 6790 6795 6800 6805 6810 6815 6820 6825 6830 6835 6840 6845 6850 6855 6860 6865 6870 6875 6880 6885 6890 6895 6900 6905 6910 6915 6920 6925 6930 6935 6940 6945 6950 6955 6960 6965 6970 6975 6980 6985 6990 6995 7000 7005 7010 7015 7020 7025 7030 7035 7040 7045 7050 7055 7060 7065 7070 7075 7080 7085 7090 7095 7100 7105 7110 7115 7120 7125 7130 7135 7140 7145 7150 7155 7160 7165 7170 7175 7180 7185 7190 7195 7200 7205 7210 7215 7220 7225 7230 7235 7240 7245 7250 7255 7260 7265 7270 7275 7280 7285 7290 7295 7300 7305 7310 7315 7320 7325 7330 7335 7340 7345 7350 7355 7360 7365 7370 7375 7380 7385 7390 7395 7400 7405 7410 7415 7420 7425 7430 7435 7440 7445 7450 7455 7460 7465 7470 7475 7480 7485 7490 7495 7500 7505 7510 7515 7520 7525 7530 7535 7540 7545 7550 7555 7560 7565 7570 7575 7580 7585 7590 7595 7600 7605 7610 7615 7620 7625 7630 7635 7640 7645 7650 7655 7660 7665 7670 7675 7680 7685 7690 7695 7700 7705 7710 7715 7720 7725 7730 7735 7740 7745 7750 7755 7760 7765 7770 7775 7780 7785 7790 7795 7800 7805 7810 7815 7820 7825 7830 7835 7840 7845 7850 7855 7860 7865 7870 7875 7880 7885 7890 7895 7900 7905 7910 7915 7920 7925 7930 7935 7940 7945 7950 7955 7960 7965 7970 7975 7980 7985 7990 7995 8000 8005 8010 8015 8020 8025 8030 8035 8040 8045 8050 8055 8060 8065 8070 8075 8080 8085 8090 8095 8100 8105 8110 8115 8120 8125 8130 8135 8140 8145 8150 8155 8160 8165 8170 8175 8180 8185 8190 8195 8200 8205 8210 8215 8220 8225 8230 8235 8240 8245 8250 8255 8260 8265 8270 8275 8280 8285 8290 8295 8300 8305 8310 8315 8320 8325 8330 8335 8340 8345 8350 8355 8360 8365 8370 8375 8380 8385 8390 8395 8400 8405 8410 8415 8420 8425 8430 8435 8440 8445 8450 8455 8460 8465 8470 8475 8480 8485 8490 8495 8500 8505 8510 8515 8520 8525 8530 8535 8540 8545 8550 8555 8560 8565 8570 8575 8580 8585 8590 8595 8600 8605 8610 8615 8620 8625 8630 8635 8640 8645 8650 8655 8660 8665 8670 8675 8680 8685 8690 8695 8700 8705 8710 8715 8720 8725 8730 8735 8740 8745 8750 8755 8760 8765 8770 8775 8780 8785 8790 8795 8800 8805 8810 8815 8820 8825 8830 8835 8840 8845 8850 8855 8860 8865 8870 8875 8880 8885 8890 8895 8900 8905 8910 8915 8920 8925 8930 8935 8940 8945 8950 8955 8960 8965 8970 8975 8980 8985 8990 8995 9000 9005 9010 9015 9020 9025 9030 9035 9040 9045 9050 9055 9060 9065 9070 9075 9080 9085 9090 9095 9100 9105 9110 9115 9120 9125 9130 9135 9140 9145 9150 9155 9160 9165 9170 9175 9180 9185 9190 9195 9200 9205 9210 9215 9220 9225 9230 9235 9240 9245 9250 9255 9260 9265 9270 9275 9280 9285 9290 9295 9300 9305 9310 9315 9320 9325 9330 9335 9340 9345 9350 9355 9360 9365 9370 9375 9380 9385 9390 9395 9400 9405 9410 9415 9420 9425 9430 9435 9440 9445 9450 9455 9460 9465 9470 9475 9480 9485 9490 9495 9500 9505 9510 9515 9520 9525 9530 9535 9540 9545 9550 9555 9560 9565 9570 9575 9580 9585 9590 9595 9600 9605 9610 9615 9620 9625 9630 9635 9640 9645 9650 9655 9660 9665 9670 9675 9680 9685 9690 9695 9700 9705 9710 9715 9720 9725 9730 9735 9740 9745 9750 9755 9760 9765 9770 9775 9780 9785 9790 9795 9800 9805 9810 9815 9820 9825 9830 9835 9840 9845 9850 9855 9860 9865 9870 9875 9880 9885 9890 9895 9900 9905 9910 9915 9920 9925 9930 9935 9940 9945 9950 9955 9960 9965 9970 9975 9980 9985 9990 9995 9999

control has access to more memories, the output quality level transitions provided by the algorithm can become smoother. The system also comprises a first co-processor, 1222, on which the first function of the algorithm can run and a second co-processor, 1224, which on which the second function of the algorithm can run. An, optional, CPU, 1230, operates the algorithm as a whole, because there needs to be some inter-process communication between the first and second function. When the system does not contain co-processors, the functions and therefore, the algorithm run on the CPU. When the first function can be operated at a plurality of levels of complexity, the first function runs at the least complex level on a dedicated co-processor 1232, while the more complex level is run on the co-processor 1222.

10 It is also possible that the first function runs at each of the plurality of levels of complexity on either 1232 or 1222 or that the first function runs at each of the plurality of levels of complexity on CPU 1230. This system can be realised in software intended to be operated as an application by a computer or any other standard architecture able to operate software. The system can be used to operate a digital television set 1234. The system can also be realised in silicon wherein the mentioned lookup tables are replaced by logical building blocks that are hard-wired to each other and the mentioned processors and co-processors are omitted.

Figure 13 illustrates, in a schematic way, the most important parts of a television set that comprises an embodiment of the system according to the invention. Here an antenna, 1300 receives a television signal. The antenna may also be for example a satellite dish, cable, storage device, internet, Ethernet or any other device able to receive a television signal. A receiver, 1302 receives the signal. The signal may be for example digital, analog, RGB or YUV. Besides the receiver 1302, the television set contains a programmable component, 1304, for example a programmable integrated circuit. This programmable component contains a system according to the invention 1306. A television screen 1308 shows images that are received by the receiver 1302 and are processed by the programmable component 1304, the system according to the invention 1306 and other parts that are normally contained in a television set, but are not shown here.

Figure 14 illustrates, in a schematic way, the most important parts of a set-top box that comprises an embodiment of the system according to the invention. Here, an antenna 1400 receives a television signal. The antenna may also be for example a satellite dish, cable, storage device, internet, Ethernet or any other device able to receive a television signal. A set-top box 1402, receives the signal. The signal may be for example digital, analogue, RGD or YUV. Besides the usual parts that are contained in a set-top box, but are not shown here, the set-top box contains a system according to the invention 1404. The television set 1406

can show the output signal generated from a received signal by the set-top box 1402 together with the system according to the invention 1404. The output signal may also be directed to a storage device like a VCR, DVD-RW or a harddisk or they may be directed to an internet link in stead of being directed to the television set.

ପାତ୍ରାବ୍ଦୀ ୧୦